| LECTURE 24 | | Collective instabilities | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| | | Bunched beam instabilities driven by short-range wakefields: | | | |
| | Collective instabilities | | Head-t | ail instabilities in synchrotrons | |
| | | | | Strong" head-tail instability | |
| Bunched beam instabilities driven by short-range wakefields: Head-tail instabilities in synchrotrons | | the transverse w exerts a force on | nstability is a transverse instability i ake field generated by the head of a the tail of the bunch. Such a conditi notion of the tail, resulting in breaku bunch. | bunch ion may | |
| | | | easily by short-ran | that such an instability will be driv age wakefields, which extend over a agth of the bunch. As we have seen | distance |
| 11/29/01 | USPAS Lecture 24 | 1 | 11/29/01 | USPAS Lecture 24 | 2 |
| wakefields are generated by the relatively high frequency impedance of broad band resonators. We will take a very simple model for the wake function that drives the head tail instability, namely: $W_1(z) = \begin{cases} -W & \text{if } 0 > z > -\text{bunch length} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ The transverse wake potential generated by a total charge <i>Q</i> , undergoing vertical motion with a dipole moment $\langle y \rangle$, will then be (Lect 24, p. 16) $F_y = eQW\langle y \rangle$ (We'll only discuss vertical oscillations here, but the treatment for the horizontal case is essentially identical). | | If we ignore wake free betatron oscill | wo-macroparticle" model for the bear eled "1", will represent the head of the eled "2", will represent the tail of the acroparticle contains charge $Ne/2$. | the beam, ne beam. execute particular | |
| 11/29/01 | USPAS Lecture 24 | 3 | 11/29/01 | USPAS Lecture 24 | 4 |

point in the ring, then the transformation of y and y' at this This will cause a kick to particle 2 equal to $\Delta y_2' = \frac{\overline{F_y}}{ny} = \frac{Ne^2}{2m} e^2 \chi W y_1$ point over *n* turns can be described by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} y(n) \\ y'(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi n Q_y & \beta_y \sin 2\pi n Q_y \\ -\frac{1}{\beta} \sin 2\pi n Q_y & \cos 2\pi n Q_y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ y'(0) \end{pmatrix}$ in which we've taken the particle velocity to be c. This obviously represents a coupling between the motion of the two particles via the wake function, and this will be the source of For simplicity, we've taken $\alpha_v = 0$. Now let there be an the instability. impedance at this point in the ring, which has the wake function W. Consider the effect of the wake field of particle 1 on From the matrix transformation above, we have, in the absence particle 2. The wake potential generated by particle 1 is of wake fields, $y_1(n) = y_1(0)\cos 2\pi n Q_v + y_1'(0)\beta_v \sin 2\pi n Q_v$ $\overline{F}_y = \frac{Ne^2}{2}Wy_1$ $\frac{d^2 y_2(n)}{d_2^2} = -(2\pi Q_y)^2 y_2(n)$ 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 5 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 6 where we've assumed $y'_1(0) = 0$ for simplicity. Using $y_2(n) = y_2(0)\cos 2\pi n Q_y + \beta_y y'_2(0)\sin 2\pi n Q_y$ $+\frac{Ne^2W\beta_y^2\sin 2\pi nQ_y}{8\pi Q_s m_0 c^2 \gamma}y_1'(0)$ $y_2(n) = y_{20} \cos(2\pi Q_y n)$ $y'_2(n) = -\frac{y_{20}}{\beta_y} \sin(2\pi Q_y n)$ $\frac{dy_2(n)}{dn} = -2\pi Q_y y_{20} \sin(2\pi Q_y n) = 2\pi Q_y \beta_y y_2'(n)$ $+\frac{Ne^2W\beta_y}{4m_cc^2\gamma}n\left(y_1(0)\sin 2\pi nQ_y-y_1'(0)\frac{\beta_y}{\pi Q}\cos 2\pi nQ_y\right)$ we see that the wake fields modify the equation for $\frac{d^2 y_2(n)}{dn^2}$ to The last term grows with *n*, and represents the resonant response of the second particle to the driving force delivered by $\frac{d^2 y_2(n)}{dn^2} = -\left(2\pi Q_y\right)^2 y_2(n) + \frac{N2\pi Q_y \beta_y e^2}{2m c^2 \gamma} W y_1(n)$ the first particle. It would seem that the tail of the bunch would rapidly be driven to large amplitudes and be lost. This, in fact, is what happens in linacs, where this instability is referred to as The solution of this equation, is the beam breakup instability. 7 8 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24

| In linacs, the instability can be controlled by arranging for the head and the tail of the bunch to have different betatron frequencies, so the resonant response is not realized. This is done by introducing an energy spread into the beam, correlated with position in the bunch. Chromaticity will then produce a tune dependence on position in the bunch, and the growth of the instability can be limited. This procedure is referred to as "BNS damping". In a synchrotron, there is a natural mechanism for suppression of the instability: synchrotron motion. The macroparticles 1 and 2 exchange places every 1/2 of a synchrotron period. This tends to limit the growth of the instability. At large enough currents, however, it can still occur. | To see when the instability develops, we have to analyze the coupled motion of the two macroparticle. Such an analysis can be simplified by the following transformation. We define the following complex variable |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 9 | 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 10 |
| $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_{1}(n) \\ \tilde{y}_{2}(n) \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left(-2\pi i n Q_{y}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_{1}(0) \\ \tilde{y}_{2}(0) \end{pmatrix}$ In the presence of wakefields, we have solved for the motion of particle 2 when it is in the tail of the bunch: $y_{2}(n) = y_{2}(0) \cos 2\pi n Q_{y} + \beta_{y} y_{2}'(0) \sin 2\pi n Q_{y}$ $+ \frac{Ne^{2}W\beta_{y}^{2} \sin 2\pi n Q_{y}}{8\pi Q_{y} m_{0} c^{2} \gamma} y_{1}'(0)$ $+ \frac{Ne^{2}W\beta_{y}}{4m_{0} c^{2} \gamma} n \left(y_{1}(0) \sin 2\pi n Q_{y} - y_{1}'(0) \frac{\beta_{y}}{\pi Q_{y}} \cos 2\pi n Q_{y} \right)$ $11/29/01 \qquad \text{USPAS Lecture } 24 \qquad 11$ | We want to write this in terms of the \tilde{y} variables. Using the definition given above, we have $\tilde{y}_{2}(n) = \exp\left(-2\pi i Q_{y}n\right) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_{2}(0) + \tilde{y}_{1}(0)in\frac{Ne^{2}W\beta_{y}}{4m_{0}c^{2}\gamma} + \frac{Ne^{2}W\beta_{y}\tilde{y}_{1}^{*}(0)\left(-1 + \exp\left(4\pi i Q_{y}n\right)\right)}{16\pi Q_{y}m_{0}c^{2}\gamma} \end{pmatrix}$ If we retain only the resonant term (the one proportional to n), which will dominate after many turns, then we have $\tilde{y}_{2}(n) \approx \exp\left(-2\pi i Q_{y}n\right) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_{2}(0) + in\tilde{y}_{1}(0)\frac{Ne^{2}W\beta_{y}}{4m_{0}c^{2}\gamma} \end{pmatrix}$ 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 12 |

We can now write the solution for the motion of both $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_1 \left(\frac{1}{2Q_s} \right) \\ \tilde{y}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2Q_s} \right) \end{pmatrix} = \exp \left(-i \frac{\pi Q_y}{Q_s} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ iT & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_1(0) \\ \tilde{y}_2(0) \end{pmatrix}$ macroparticles, including the resonant term produced by the wake field, in matrix form as $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_1(n) \\ \tilde{y}_2(n) \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left(-2\pi i n Q_y\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i n \frac{Ne^2 W \beta_y}{4m_e c^2 \gamma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_1(0) \\ \tilde{y}_2(0) \end{pmatrix}$ in which $T = \frac{Ne^2 W \beta_y}{80 m_0 c^2 \gamma}$ As mentioned above, this will be correct for about 1/2 of a synchrotron oscillation period; then the roles of particles 1 and 2 will reverse. Thus, we need to look at the above expression is a positive dimensionless parameter. For the second half of for $n = \frac{1}{2O_s}$, where Q_s is the synchrotron tune. This is the synchrotron period, particle 2 drives particle 1; so we have 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 13 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 14 $\left|2-\mathrm{T}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \Longrightarrow \mathrm{T} = \frac{Ne^{2}W\beta_{y}}{8Q_{s}m_{0}c^{2}\gamma} \leq 2$ $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_{l} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{y}_{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \exp \left(-i \frac{\pi Q_{y}}{Q_{s}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iT \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{y}_{l} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2Q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{y}_{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2Q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} \end{vmatrix}$ If the single bunch intensity exceeds the threshold $N_{th} = \frac{16Q_s m_0 c^2 \gamma}{e^2 W \beta_s}$ The overall matrix for one synchrotron period is the product: $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_{1}\left(\frac{1}{Q_{s}}\right) \\ \tilde{y}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{Q_{s}}\right) \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left(-i\frac{2\pi Q_{y}}{Q_{s}}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1-T^{2} & iT \\ iT & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_{1}(0) \\ \tilde{y}_{2}(0) \end{pmatrix}$ the beam will very rapidly become unstable. This type of instability is referred to as the "strong head-tail instability", or sometimes the "transverse mode-coupling instability (TMCI)". The latter designation comes from the fact that at the instability threshold, the oscillation frequencies of the normal modes of The requirement for stability over many synchrotron periods is the two macroparticles become equal. that the absolute value of the trace of the matrix should be less

than 2. So we have the requirement

USPAS Lecture 24

11/29/01

15

11/29/01

| ExampleIn Lecture 22, p 20, we estimated the transverse wake function from a broad band resonator to be about 10 V/pC/m. Suppose that there are 50 such objects in CESR. What is the threshold intensity for the strong head-tail instability?We'll take β_y =20 m, $Q_s = 0.052$, $W=5x10^{14}$ V/C/m, $\gamma=10^4$. We find $N_{th} = 2.55x10^{12}$ (160 ma) per bunch.Below threshold, the motion of the normal modes can be complex. To see how the normal mode frequencies become equal at the instability threshold, we must perform a normal mode analysis.11/29/01USPAS Lecture 2417 | The normal modes are defined as those linear combinations of $(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$ which are decoupled after every synchrotron oscillation period. The normal modes, $\vec{\zeta}_1, \vec{\zeta}_2$, satisfy the equations $\Lambda \vec{\zeta}_i = \lambda_i \vec{\zeta}_i$ in which $\Lambda = \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{MS}$, and \mathbf{S} is the matrix which diagonalizes $\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - T^2 & iT \\ iT & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The eigenvalues are given by the secular equation $ \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{I}\lambda = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - T^2 - \lambda & iT \\ iT & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$ 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 18 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| This is $(1 - T^{2} - \lambda)(1 - \lambda) + T^{2} = 0$ Since the matrix has a unit determinant, the diagonalized matrix will also, so we have $\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} = 1$, $\lambda_{1,2} = \exp(\mp i\phi)$ and $(1 - T^{2} - \exp(i\phi))(1 - \exp(i\phi)) + T^{2} = 0$ $-\exp(i\phi) - (1 - T^{2})(\exp(i\phi)) + \exp(2i\phi) = 0$ $(1 - T^{2}) = \exp(-i\phi) - 1 + \exp(i\phi) = 2\cos\phi - 1$ $T^{2} = 2 - 2\cos\phi \Rightarrow T = 2\sin\frac{\phi}{2}$ | The normal mode eigenvectors in the $(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$ basis are $\vec{\zeta}_1 = \left(-\exp\left(-i\frac{\phi}{2}\right)\right), \ \vec{\zeta}_2 = \left(\exp\left(i\frac{\phi}{2}\right)\right)$ That is, $\zeta_1 = \tilde{y}_2 - \exp\left(-i\frac{\phi}{2}\right)\tilde{y}_1, \ \zeta_2 = \tilde{y}_2 + \exp\left(i\frac{\phi}{2}\right)\tilde{y}_1$ The matrix which diagonalizes M is $\mathbf{S} = \left(-\exp\left(-i\frac{\phi}{2}\right) - \exp\left(i\frac{\phi}{2}\right)\right)$ This is also the transformation matrix from the eigenvectors to \vec{y}_1 : |

$$\vec{\tilde{y}}$$
:

US

11/29/01

19

USPAS Lecture 24

20

$$\begin{split} \tilde{y} = \tilde{y} \\ \text{To find the normal model frequencies, we must Fourier analysis the time dependence of the eignemodes. The time evolution of signed is the time dependence of the eignemodes. The time evolution of s is given by
$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y},(n) \\ \tilde{y},(n) \\$$$$

| Thus, extending the range to all times $\vec{\zeta}(n) = \Omega(n)\vec{\zeta}(-\infty)$, $\tilde{\Omega}(h) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\Omega}_m(h)$ $\tilde{\Omega}_m(h) = \int_{m/Q_s}^{m+1/Q_s} dn \exp(-2\pi i h n) \exp(-2\pi i n Q_y) \mathbf{Z} \left(n - \frac{m}{Q_s}\right) \Lambda^m$ Using $n' = n - \frac{m}{Q_s}$ | $\tilde{\Omega}_{m}(h) = \int_{0}^{1/Q_{s}} dn' \exp\left(2\pi i \left(n' + \frac{m}{Q_{s}}\right) \left(-h - Q_{y}\right)\right) \mathbf{Z}(n') \Lambda^{m}$ $= \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(h) \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi i m \left(h + Q_{y}\right)}{Q_{s}}\right) \Lambda^{m}$ $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(h) = \int_{0}^{1/Q_{s}} dn' \exp\left(-2\pi i n' \left(h + Q_{y}\right)\right) \mathbf{Z}(n')$ Thus $\tilde{\Omega}(h) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\Omega}_{m}(h) = \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(h) \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi i m \left(h + Q_{y}\right)}{Q_{s}}\right) \Lambda^{m}\right)$ |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 25 | 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 26 |
| Each element of the matrix sum in brackets has the form $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi i m \left(h+Q_y\pm\frac{\phi Q_s}{2\pi}\right)}{Q_s}\right)$ Using the Poisson sum formula $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(inx) = 2\pi \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-2\pi p)$ we have 11/20/01 | $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi i m \left(h+Q_y\pm\frac{\phi Q_s}{2\pi}\right)}{Q_s}\right)$ $= 2\pi \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(\frac{2\pi \left(h+Q_y\pm\frac{\phi Q_s}{2\pi}\right)}{Q_s}-2\pi p\right)$ We can transform the δ -function as follows: $\delta\left(\frac{2\pi \left(h+Q_y\pm\frac{\phi Q_s}{2\pi}\right)}{Q_s}-2\pi p\right)=\frac{Q_s}{2\pi}\delta\left(h-Q_sp+Q_y\pm\frac{\phi Q_s}{2\pi}\right)$ |
| 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 27 | 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 28 |

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(n) &= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}(h)\Delta(p,h) \quad \Delta(p,k) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta(h-h,(p)) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(h-h,(p)) \end{pmatrix} \\
h_{\lambda}(p) &= pQ_{\perp} - Q_{\perp} \mp \frac{\phi Q_{\perp}}{2\pi} \\
\text{and so} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(n) &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dh \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dh \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \tilde{\Omega}(h) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \\
&= Q_{\perp} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} dp \exp(2\pi i hn) \\
&= Q_{\perp$$

The growth rate is proportional to the chromaticity of the machine: hence, to suppress the instability, small values of the chromaticity are desirable. The control of this instability is one of the principal reasons for the use of sextupoles as chromatic correctors in high-energy accelerators.

The dependence of the vertical betatron tune on relative momentum deviation δ is

$$Q_{y}(\delta) = Q_{y0} + \xi_{y}\delta$$

where ξ_y is the vertical chromaticity. Consider the two macroparticles, representing the head and tail of the bunch. These particles are undergoing synchrotron oscillations, so the energy is a function of turn number, and hence so is the vertical tune:

The solution can be written in matrix form as

 $\begin{pmatrix} y(n) \\ y'(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi \int dn Q_y(n) & \beta_y \sin 2\pi \int dn Q_y(n) \\ 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{R} \sin 2\pi \int dn Q_y(n) & \cos 2\pi \int dn Q_y(n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ y'(0) \end{pmatrix}$

Or, in terms of the \tilde{y} variable introduced earlier

 $\tilde{y}(n) = \tilde{y}(0) \exp\left(-2\pi i \int_{0}^{n} dn Q_{y}(n)\right)$

The integral can be written as

USPAS Lecture 24

 $Q_{v}(n) = Q_{v0} + \xi_{v}\delta(n)$ Ignore the wake field effects for the moment, and focus on the motion of macroparticle 1. The equations of motion for a constant tune have the form $\frac{dy}{dn} = 2\pi Q_y \beta_y y' \quad \frac{dy'}{dn} = -\frac{2\pi Q_y}{\beta} y$ For a variable *Q*, we have $\frac{dy}{dn} = 2\pi Q_y(n)\beta_y y' \quad \frac{dy'}{dn} = -\frac{2\pi Q_y(n)}{\beta_y} y$ 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 38 $\int_{0}^{n} dn Q_y(n) = n Q_{y0} + \xi_y \int_{0}^{n} dn \delta(n)$ From Lecture 10, p 15, we have $\frac{d\Delta t_n}{dn} \approx \frac{C\eta_C}{c} \delta \Rightarrow \delta \approx \frac{1}{Cn_C} c \frac{d\Delta t_n}{dn} = -\frac{1}{Cn_C} \frac{dz(n)}{dn}$ for $\beta=1$ particles, in which $z = -c\Delta t_n$ is the longitudinal distance from the synchronous particle. Then $\int_{0}^{n} \delta(n) dn = -\frac{1}{C\eta_C} \int_{0}^{n} \frac{dz(n)}{dn} dn = -\frac{z(n) - z(0)}{C\eta_C}$ Let macroparticle 1 be undergoing a synchrotron oscillation of

the form

11/29/01

39

37

11/29/01

$$\begin{aligned} z_{1}(n) &= z_{0} \sin(2\pi Q_{n}), \\ \text{Tren} \\ \beta_{1}(n) &= \beta_{1}(0) \exp\left[-i\left(2\pi Q_{n}n - \chi \sin(2\pi Q_{n})\right)\right) \\ &= \min\left\{\lambda_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{2\pi Q_{n}n}{2\pi \chi \sin(2\pi Q_{n})}\right)\right\} \\ &= \min\left\{\lambda_{2}^{2} \left(\frac{2\pi Q_{n}n}{2\pi \chi \cos(2\pi Q_{n}n)}\right)\right\} \\ &= \min\left\{\lambda_{2}^{2} \left(\frac{2\pi Q_{n}n}{2\pi \chi \cos(2\pi Q_{n}n}\right)\right\} \\ &= \min\left$$

The second term is a rapidly varying function of n and may be $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1(n) \\ \hat{y}_2(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2iTQ_s \left(n + \frac{i\chi}{\pi O} \left(1 - \cos(2\pi Q_s n) \right) \right) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1(0) \\ \hat{y}_2(0) \end{pmatrix}$ dropped. We then expand the exponential (since $\chi <<1$) and solve the differential equation After 1/2 of a synchrotron oscillation period, we have $\frac{d\hat{y}_2}{dn} = \tilde{y}_1(0) \frac{Ne^2 W \beta_y}{4m_0 c^2 \gamma} (i - 2\chi \sin(2\pi Q_s n))$ $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2Q_S} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{y}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2Q_S} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ iT \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{4i\chi}{\pi} \end{pmatrix} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1(0) \\ \hat{y}_2(0) \end{pmatrix}$ The solution is $\hat{y}_{2}(n) = \hat{y}_{2}(0) + \tilde{y}_{1}(0) \frac{i\beta_{y}WNe^{2} \left(n + \frac{i\chi}{\pi Q_{s}} \left(1 - \cos(2\pi Q_{s}n)\right)\right)}{4m e^{2}\alpha}$ For the second half of the synchrotron period, particle 2 drives particle 1; so we have The solution can be written in matrix form, for the first half of the synchrotron period, as 45 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 46 complex. Following the same argument as in the discussion of $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_{1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{y}_{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iT \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{4i\chi}{\pi} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_{1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2Q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{y}_{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$ the strong head-tail instability, we conclude that $\sin\frac{\phi}{2} = \frac{T}{2}\left(1 + \frac{4i\chi}{\pi}\right)$ For the case of *T*<<1, we have The overall matrix for one synchrotron period is the product: $\phi \approx T + \frac{4i\chi I}{-}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Q_s} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{y}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{z} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - T^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{4i\chi}{\pi} \end{pmatrix}^2 & iT \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{4i\chi}{\pi} \end{pmatrix} \\ iT \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{4i\chi}{\pi} \end{pmatrix} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1(0) \\ \hat{y}_2(0) \end{pmatrix}$ and the eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1,2} = \exp\left(\mp i \left(T + \frac{4i\chi T}{\pi}\right)\right)$ Since the matrix has determinant=1, the eigenvalues of this $=\exp(\mp iT)\exp\left(\pm\frac{4\chi T}{\pi}\right)$ matrix have the form $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$, $\lambda_{1,2} = \exp(\mp i\phi)$, in which ϕ is 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 47 11/29/01 **USPAS** Lecture 24 48

| The real part, which is related to the modulation of the tune, gives unstable growth of one of the eigenmodes (and damping of the other). The growth rate per synchrotron period is $\frac{4\chi T}{\pi}$, so the growth rate per unit time is $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{4\chi T}{T_s \pi} = \frac{\chi}{T_s \pi} \frac{Ne^2 W \beta_y}{2Q_s m_0 c^2 \gamma} = \frac{1}{T_0} \frac{Ne^2 W \beta_y \chi}{2\pi m_0 c^2 \gamma}$ Example: We'll take β_y =20 m, χ =-0.04, W=5x10 ¹⁴ V/C/m, γ =10 ⁴ , C=750 m, T ₀ =2.5 µs, N=2x10 ¹¹ . We find τ = 6.3 ms. | Although it appears that the growth rate is zero only for zero chromaticity, in fact a more sophisticated analysis shows that the growth rate of the (-) mode (corresponding to positive chromaticity) is smaller than given by the above formula. Hence most machines are operated with a small positive chromaticity. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 49 | 11/29/01 USPAS Lecture 24 50 |