#### Charm Mixing and Strong Phases Using Quantum Correlations at CLEO-c

Werner Sun, Cornell University 5-8 August 2007, Charm07 Workshop, Ithaca, NY (Revised 19 November 2007)



Motivation Technique Results





# **Charm Mixing So Far**

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} D \\ \overline{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D \\ \overline{D} \end{pmatrix}$$
 where  $H_{11} = M_{11} - i \frac{\Gamma_{11}}{2}$  etc..

$$c = \frac{\Delta M}{\Gamma}$$
 and  $y = \frac{\Delta \Gamma}{2\Gamma}$ 

- $H_{12}, H_{21} \neq 0 \Rightarrow$  flavor eigenstates  $\neq$  mass eigenstates.
- Previous studies:

Werner Sun, Cornell University

- Direct lifetime measurements:  $y = \frac{\tau(D \to K\pi)}{\tau(D \to KK)} 1$ 
  - Compare  $K^+K^-$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-$  with  $K^-\pi^+$ .
- Time-dependent Dalitz analysis of  $K_{S}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ :
  - Intermediate CP-eigenstates give y.
  - Interference between CP+ and CP- gives x.
- Time-dependent wrong-sign rate  $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$ :
  - Interfering DCS and mixing amplitudes modulate exponential decay time.
  - Ambiguity from strong phase:  $y' = y \cos \delta x \sin \delta$

$$< K^{-}\pi^{+}|\overline{D^{0}}> / < K^{-}\pi^{+}|D^{0}> = -re^{-i\delta}$$

- Time-dependence gives 1<sup>st</sup>-order x/y sensitivity:
  - Need boosted D mesons to resolve decay time.





# Quantum Correlations at CLEO-c

• At CLEO-c, interference comes for free.

Appears in time-integrated yields.

 $M_{ij}^{2} = \left| \left\langle i \mid D^{0} \right\rangle \left\langle j \mid \overline{D^{0}} \right\rangle - \left\langle j \mid D^{0} \right\rangle \left\langle i \mid \overline{D^{0}} \right\rangle \right|^{2}$ C = -1*e*+*e*-CP+ CP+Forbidden by **CP** conservation CP-CP-CP+ Maximal enhancement CP- $K^-\pi^+$  $K^-\pi^+$ Forbidden if no mixing  $K^-\pi^+$ CP+Interference of **CF** with DCS CP+  $K^-\pi^+$ Inclusive unaffected X  $K^{-}\pi^{+}$ , *CP*,  $K^{+}l^{-}v$  semileptonic

1<sup>st</sup>-order sensitivity to y:  
Reconstruct 
$$K^+K^-$$
 (*CP*+) with SL  $\Rightarrow$ 

SL must be D<sub>1</sub> (CP–).
SL width indep. of CP, but total width depends on CP, so effective branching fraction probes y.

$$n_{e/KK} / n_{KK} = B_e \Gamma / \Gamma_1 = B_e / (1 - y)$$

1 - y = 1 -

$$= \frac{n_{KK}}{n_{e/KK}} B_e$$
 Effective  $\mathcal{B}$   
at  $\psi(3770)$ 

First measurement of cosô:  
• Reconstruct 
$$K^+K^-$$
 with  $K^-\pi^+ \Rightarrow$   
 $K^-\pi^+$  must come from  $D_1$  (CP–).  
rate  $\propto \left| \left\langle K^-\pi^+ \mid D^0 \right\rangle + \left\langle K^-\pi^+ \mid \overline{D}^0 \right\rangle \right|^2$   
 $\propto B_{K\pi} \left| 1 + re^{-i\delta} \right|^2$   
 $= B_{K\pi} (1 + 2r\cos\delta + r^2)$ 

Werner Sun, Cornell University



# **Coherent vs. Incoherent Decay**

 $R_{M} = (x^{2} + y^{2})/2$ 

 $R_{ws} = r^2 + ry' + R_M$ 

- We use yields for
  - single tags (one D reconstructed)
  - double tags (D and  $\overline{D}$  reconstructed)

DT	<b>K</b> <sup>−</sup> π <sup>+</sup>	<b>e</b> +	СР+	CP-	
$K^{-}\pi^{+}$	R <sub>M</sub> / R <sub>ws</sub> qu	uantum	-corre	lated r	ate
$K^{+}\pi^{-}$	$1 + 2R_{WS} - 4r\cos\delta (r\cos\delta + y)^{-1}$	inco	herent	rate	
<b>e</b> -	1 – <i>r</i> (ycosδ + xsinδ)	1			
СР+	$1 + (2r\cos\delta + y) / (1 + R_{ws})$	1 + y	0		
CP–	$1 - (2r\cos\delta + y) / (1 + R_{ws})$	1 – y	2	0	
ST	1	1	1	1	

- Compare QC effective  $\mathcal{B}$  with incoherent  $\mathcal{B}$ to give y and  $\cos\delta$ .
- Sources of incoherent  $\mathcal{B}$ :
  - Externally measured  $\mathcal{B}$ s.
  - Single tags at  $\psi(3770)$  (immune to QC).
- CP violation neglected.

ST 
$$X \leftarrow \overline{D} \quad D \rightarrow i$$
  
DT  $j \leftarrow \overline{D} \quad D \rightarrow i$ 





# **Analysis Overview**

- Dataset: 281 pb<sup>-1</sup> =  $10^6$  C-odd  $D^0 \overline{D^0}$ .
- Combine inputs + error matrix in a  $\chi^2$  fit.
  - ST and DT yields
  - Efficiencies (signal and background)
  - Crossfeed/background estimates
  - Systematic errors (small compared to stat.)
  - External *B* and y<sup>(\*)</sup> measurements
- Single tag yields (8):



• Fully-reconstructed DT yields (24):

<i>Κ</i> -π+	<i>Κ</i> +π-	(1)	1+2 <i>R<sub>ws</sub></i> -4rcosδ(rcosδ+y)
<i>Κ</i> +π-	<i>K</i> +π <sup>-</sup>	(1)	$(x^2 + y^2)/2R_{WS}$
<i>Κ</i> -π+	<i>Κ</i> -π+	(1)	$(x^2 + y^2)/2R_{WS}$
Κπ	CP+	(3)	$1 + (2r\cos\delta + y) / (1 + R_{WS})$
Κπ	CP-	(3)	$1 - (2r\cos\delta + y) / (1 + R_{WS})$
CP+	CP-	(9)	2

■ Inclusive *e*<sup>+</sup> or *e*<sup>-</sup> vs. hadronic (14):

е-	<i>Κ</i> -π+	(1)	$1 - r$ (ycos $\delta$ + xsin $\delta$ )
<b>e</b> +	<b>Κ</b> +π-	(1)	$1 - r$ (ycos $\delta$ + xsin $\delta$ )
<i>e</i> -/ <i>e</i> +	CP+	(6)	1 + y
<i>e</i> -/ <i>e</i> +	CP–	(6)	1 – y

•  $K_{L}^{0}\pi^{0}$  (=*CP*+) vs. hadronic (5):

$K^0_L \pi^0$	Κπ	(2)	$1 + (2r\cos\delta + y) / (1 + R_{WS})$
$K^0_L \pi^0$	CP–	(3)	2



### **Yield Measurements**

- Fully-reconstructed single tags:
  - Fit beam-constrained mass distribution.

$$M_{BC} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^2 - |p_D|^2}$$

- Fully-reconstructed double tags:
  - Two fully-reconstructed STs
  - Count events in 2D  $M_{BC}$  plane.
- Inclusive semileptonic DTs:
  - One fully-reconstructed ST
  - Plus one electron candidate
  - Fit e<sup>±</sup> momentum spectrum
- $K^{0}$ ,  $\pi^{0}$  double tags:
  - One fully-reconstructed ST
  - Plus one  $\pi^0$  candidate н.
  - Compute missing mass-squared
    - Signal peaks at M<sup>2</sup>(K<sup>0</sup>).









## **External Measurements**

- External inputs improve y and cosδ precision.
- All correlations among measurements included in fit.
- Standard fit includes:
  - Info on r needed to obtain  $\cos\delta$ :

$$\mathbf{R}_{WS} = \mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{r}\mathbf{y}' + \mathbf{R}_M$$

• 
$$R_M = (x^2 + y^2)/2$$

• Assume 
$$x\sin\delta = 0 \Rightarrow y' = y\cos\delta$$

- CP-eigenstate Bs: -
  - Also  $K\pi$  because correlated in PDG

Parameter	Average
$\overline{y}$	$0.00662 \pm 0.00211$
x	$0.00811 \pm 0.00334$
$r^2$	$0.00339 \pm 0.00012$
y'	$0.0034 \pm 0.0030$
$x'^{2}$	$0.00006 \pm 0.00018$

Parameter		Average				
$R_{WS}$	$0.00409 \pm 0.00022$					
$R_M$	$0.00017 \pm 0.00039$					
$K^{-}\pi^{-}$	÷	$0.0381 \pm 0.0009$				
$K^-K$	$T^+/K^-\pi^+$	$0.1010 \pm 0.0016$				
$\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$	$K^{-}\pi^{+}$	$0.0359 \pm 0.0005$				
$K_L^0\pi^0$	$0.0097 \pm 0.0003$					
$K^0_S\pi^0$	)	$0.0115 \pm 0.0012$				
$K^0_S\eta$		$0.00380 \pm 0.00060$				
$K^0_S\omega$		$0.0130 \pm 0.0030$				

- Extended fit averages y and y':
  - CP+ lifetimes (y)
  - $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$  Dalitz analysis (x, y)
  - $K\pi$  CP-conserving fits (y',  $r^2$ ,  $R_M$ )
    - Includes covariance matrices from Belle, BABAR, CLEO (thanks!)

Werner Sun, Cornell University



#### **Fit Results**

#### **CLEO PRELIMINARY**

• L	[] = with external input						
	Extended Fit	Standard Fit	Parameter				
- )	1.044±0.019±0.012	1.046±0.019±0.013	<i>N<sub>D</sub><sup>0</sup>D<sup>0</sup></i> (10 <sup>6</sup> )				
	0.93 ± 0.32 ± 0.04	1.03 ± 0.19 ± 0.08	cosõ				
			y (10 <sup>-3</sup> )				
	ler study	Still und	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> (10 <sup>-3</sup> )				
			x <sup>2</sup> (10 <sup>-3</sup> )				
	[3.77 ± 0.07 ± 0.03]	[3.77 ± 0.07 ± 0.03]	<i>B</i> (K <sup>-</sup> π <sup>+</sup> ) (%)				
0	[3.88 ± 0.08 ± 0.03]	[3.81 ± 0.09 ± 0.03]	<i>Ɓ</i> ( <i>K</i> <sup>−</sup> <i>K</i> <sup>+</sup> ) (10 <sup>−3</sup> )				
	[1.36 ± 0.03 ± 0.01]	[1.35 ± 0.03 ± 0.01]	$\mathcal{B}(\pi^{-}\pi^{+})$ (10 <sup>-3</sup> )				
• E	8.35 ± 0.32 ± 0.52	8.08 ± 0.34 ± 0.51	$\mathcal{B}(K^{0}{}_{S}\pi^{0}\pi^{0})(10^{-3})$				
	[1.14 ± 0.03 ± 0.03]	[1.18 ± 0.03 ± 0.03]	<i>B</i> ( <i>K</i> <sup>0</sup> <sub>S</sub> π <sup>0</sup> ) (%)				
	[4.41 ± 0.19 ± 0.25]	[4.56 ± 0.21 ± 0.25]	<i>Ֆ</i> (K <sup>0</sup> <sub>S</sub> η) (10 <sup>-3</sup> )				
	[1.11 ± 0.03 ± 0.05]	[1.16 ± 0.04 ± 0.06]	<i>B</i> (K <sup>0</sup> <sub>S</sub> ω) (%)				
	6.59 ± 0.16 ± 0.17	6.55 ± 0.16 ± 0.17	B(X <sup>-</sup> e <sup>+</sup> v) (%)				
	[1.02 ± 0.03 ± 0.02]	[0.98 ± 0.03 ± 0.02]	$\mathcal{B}(K^0_L\pi^0)$ (%)				
ن <u>نن</u> ا 0	58.1/58	27.8/46	χ²/ndof				
	$\mathcal{B}$ measurements do not supersede other CLEO-c results!						

- Likelihood curves +95% CL ULs
- Standard fit:



Werner Sun, Cornell University



### **Comments on Results**

- Information in inputs: observe change in parameter errors when removed from fit.
- y: [Info: 90% e<sup>±</sup>/CP DTs, 10% e<sup>±</sup>/Kπ DTs]
- $\cos\delta$ : [Info: 50%  $K\pi/CP$ + DTs, 50%  $K\pi/CP$  DTs]
  - Strong nonlinearity introduced by  $R_{WS} \sim = r^2 + 2yr\cos\delta$ :



Werner Sun, Cornell University



- C+ contamination of initial state (not expected, cf. A. Petrov):
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^0 \overline{D^0}$  is C+, but photon must be radiated from  $D^0$  or  $\overline{D^0}$ , or from  $\psi(3770)$  itself.
  - ISR, FSR, bremsstrahlung photons do not flip C eigenvalue.
- Allow fit to determine C+ fraction.
  - Include same-*CP* double tags  $(CP\pm/CP\pm)$ .
    - Allowed decay only for C+.
    - All yields consistent with zero.
  - Fit each yield to sum of C- and C+ contributions.
  - Results:  $C + / C = -0.003 \pm 0.023$ .
    - No evidence for *C*+.
    - Other results unchanged.



#### • Variation of $cos\delta$ and y with $xsin\delta$ -include additional systematic error:



- Standard fit, for  $\Delta(x \sin \delta) = \pm 0.0034$ :
  - $\cos\delta = 1.03 \pm 0.19 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.08 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.02 \text{ (xsin}\delta)$

**CLEO PRELIMINARY** 

- Extended fit,  $\Delta(x \sin \delta)$  still under investigation:
  - $\cos\delta = 0.93 \pm 0.32$  (stat)  $\pm 0.04$  (syst)  $\pm 0.??$  (xsin $\delta$ )
  - Alternative: fit for  $x \sin \delta$  by sacrificing improvement in y precision.

Werner Sun, Cornell University



- First measurement of  $cos\delta$  (needed to interpret other *D* mixing results).
  - Allows y' to be added to world-average y, but with the assumption  $x\sin\delta = 0$ .



- Can measure  $x \sin \delta$  using  $C + D^0 \overline{D}^0$  pairs from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^0 \overline{D}^0$  at  $E_{cm} = 4170$  MeV.
- Demonstrated new technique for charm mixing studies.
  - Time-independent 1<sup>st</sup>-order sensitivity to mixing parameters and phases.
  - Different systematics from other experiments.
  - With full CLEO-c dataset (E<sub>cm</sub> = 3770 & 4170 MeV) expect:

σ(cosδ) ~ ±0.1-0.2 σ(y) ~ ±0.01 σ(xsinδ) ~ ±0.03



# **BACKUP SLIDES**

Werner Sun, Cornell University



# Previous Results (Oct 2005)

- PANIC'05 prelim. results:
  - 281 pb<sup>-1</sup>.
  - No systematics.
  - Only one *CP* mode.
  - With  $r^2$  constrained to world average,  $\cos \delta = 1.08 \pm 0.66$ .
  - No other external measurements.
- Now:
  - Added 70% more CP-
    - *K*<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub>η, *K*<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub>ω
  - Added  $K_{L}^{0}\pi^{0}$ .

Param. Value		PDG04 or CLEO-c	
<b>N</b> <sub>D</sub> <sup>0</sup> <sub>D</sub> <sup>0</sup>	$(1.09 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{6}$	$(1.01 \pm 0.02) \times 10^6$	
У	-0.057 ± 0.066	0.008 ± 0.005	
<b>r</b> <sup>2</sup>	-0.028 ± 0.069	(3.74 ± 0.18)x10 <sup>-3</sup> PDG + Belle + FOCUS	
rz	0.130 ± 0.082		
R <sub>M</sub>	(1.74 ± 1.47)x10 <sup>-3</sup>	< ~1x10 <sup>-3</sup>	
<i>Ɓ(K</i> <sup>−</sup> π <sup>+</sup> )	(3.80 ± 0.29)%	(3.91 ± 0.12)%	
B( <b>K</b> <sup>−</sup> <b>K</b> <sup>+</sup> )	(0.357 ± 0.029)%	(0.389 ± 0.012)%	
$\mathcal{B}(\pi^-\pi^+)$	(0.125 ± 0.011)%	(0.138 ± 0.005)%	
$\mathcal{B}(K^0{}_{\mathrm{S}}\pi^0\pi^0)$	(0.932 ± 0.087)%	(0.89 ± 0.41)%	
$\mathcal{B}(K^0{}_{S}\pi^0)$	(1.27 ± 0.09)%	(1.55 ± 0.12)%	
<i>B</i> (X <sup>−</sup> e <sup>+</sup> v)	(6.21 ± 0.42)%	(6.87 ± 0.28)%	



- Mode-dependent correlated uncertainties cancel in y and cosδ, but only if external measurements are not included.
  - Tracking,  $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $K_s^0$ , PID, EID efficiency, FSR systematics: use DHad.
  - $\Delta E$  cut,  $\omega$  mass cut,  $K_{s}^{0}$  mass cut,  $K_{s}^{0}$  flight significance cut,  $K_{s}^{0}$  PID.
  - Peaking background BFs: values and errors from PDG.
  - Multiple candidates, SL form factor.
  - Event selection variations:
    - dominates y and cosd syst error.
- Uncorrelated uncertainties:
  - Fit function variations.

Source	Uncertainty (%)	Scheme
Track finding	0.3	per track
$K^\pm$ hadronic interactions	0.6	$\mathrm{per}\ K^\pm$
$K^0_S  { m finding}$	1.9	$\mathrm{per}~K^0_S$
$\pi^0  \operatorname{finding}$	4.0	$\mathrm{per}\;\pi^0$
$\eta  { m finding}$	4.0	per $\eta$
dE/dx and RICH	0.3	per $\pi^{\pm}$ PID cut
dE/dx and RICH	0.3	per $K^{\pm}$ PID cut
EID	1.0	per $e^{\pm}$

	'				'	
	$\Delta E$	$ISR^*$	$FSR^*$	Lepton Veto*	Other	
$K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}$	0.5	0.5	1.2	0.5		
$K^+K^-$	0.9	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.5	$K^{\pm}\cos heta$ cut
$\pi^+\pi^-$	1.9	0.5	1.7	3.2		
$K^0_S \pi^0 \pi^0$	2.6	0.5			1.5	$K^0_S$ daughter PID
					0.7	resonant substructure
$K^0_S\pi^0$	0.9	0.5				
$K^0_S\eta$	5.5	0.5			0.3	$\eta$ mass cut
					0.7	${\cal B}(\eta  o \gamma \gamma) \; [22]$
$K^0_S \omega$	1.2	0.5	0.8		1.4	$\omega$ mass cut
					0.8	$\mathcal{B}(\omega \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ [22]
$X e \nu$		0.5	0.3		2.0	$\operatorname{spectrum} \operatorname{extrapolation}$
					0.7	multiple $e^{\pm}$ candidates
$K^0_L\pi^0$		0.5			0.7	background subtraction
					0.3	extra track veto
					1.4	signal shape
					1.6	extra $\pi^0$ veto
					0.5	$\eta$ veto
Scheme	$\operatorname{per} D$	per yield	$\operatorname{per} D$	per ST	$\operatorname{per} D$	
$\lambda_{ m DT}$	$\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$	$(\alpha + \beta)/2$	$2 \alpha + \beta$	0	$\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$	

Werner Sun, Cornell University