CLEO Results on Quarkonium Transitions

Brian Heltsley Cornell University



Quarkonia Transitions

• $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi$ + hadrons (NEW!) >X J/ψ, $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ J/ψ, $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ J/ψ, η J/ψ, π^{0} J/ψ (complete set) Radiative transitions in charmonium & bottomonium $> n^3 S_1 \rightarrow \gamma (n-1)^3 P_T (\chi_T)$ $> n^{3}P_{T} \rightarrow \gamma (n, n-1)^{3}S_{1}$ $> n^3 S_1 \rightarrow \gamma$ (n-1,n-2) $^1 S_0$ (η_0) • 1st Observation of $\Upsilon(1^3D_2)$ (Review) $\succ \Upsilon(1^{3}D_{2}) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\Upsilon(1S)$?

ψ (2S) \rightarrow XJ/ ψ

 ψ (2S) decays: **Transitions: J**/ψ π⁺π[−] **J**/ψ π⁰π⁰ **J**/ψ η Σ_{excl} • **J/**ψ π⁰ **Radiative Decays:** • γχ_{cJ} (E1) • γη_c (M1) • γη (M1) Annhilation: Dileptons • direct decay \rightarrow light hadrons

 BRs for XJ/ψ, π⁺π⁻J/ψ serve as normalizing modes

- Many relative msmts, few absolute
- PDG fit takes input from different expmts & eras
- A 1st: precision, totality of channels, internal ratios w/ correlations handled

Questions:

- > $\pi^0 \pi^0 \mathbf{J}/\psi$: $\pi^+\pi^- \mathbf{J}/\psi$?
- > B(ψ (2S) \rightarrow light hadrons) ?
- $\succ XJ/\psi \Sigma_{excl} ? \implies \Sigma \gamma \chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi)$

Strategy

Fully reconstruct ● J/ψ →ee,μμ > Use E/p only as ID • $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \& \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ Loose selection to minimize systematics Small bgd, mostly cross-feed among themselves Trigger eff>98.6%

● #ψ**(2S): ±3%**

- > Robust against cut variation: ε=~50-85%
- Add bremsstrahlung γ's to lepton momenta within 100mr cone
 ee/μμ = 1 ?

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X J/\psi , J/\psi \rightarrow |+|^{-}$



Excellent Data/MC agreement

●**M(I+I-)**, **M(**ππ) •M(ππ-recoil) •E/p of leptons • $M(\pi^0, \eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, \eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ ο cos θ **•**p(J/ψ) • $p(\pi^{\pm},\pi^{0})$ at all momenta •See supplemental slides

ψ (2S) $\rightarrow \pi\pi J/\psi, J/\psi \rightarrow |+|$ -



 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi \pi J/\psi, J/\psi \rightarrow |+|^{-1}$



QWG3 B Heltsley Oct 2004

ψ (2S) \rightarrow η J/ ψ , $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, 3π



Uncertainties (relative, %)

Channel	Stat(%)	2 bigge	Total*(%)	
π⁺π⁻ J/ ψ	0.4	4 (π [±])	0.5 (dec rad)	5.3
π ⁰ π ⁰ J/ ψ	0.9	2 (π [±])	2 (π ⁰)	4.6
η (γγ)J/ ψ	2.2	2 (π [±])	1 (π^{0} , xfeed)	4.9
ղ (3 π)J/ ψ	3.7	4 (π [±])	1.5 (xfeed)	6.9
π ⁰ J/ ψ	11.9	5 (xfeed)	2 (π [±])	13.7
ΧJ/ψ	0.3	2 (π±)	0.5 (dec rad)	4.1

*Total includes $\#\psi(2S)$ normalization error of $\pm 3\%$

We assign a sys error of 1% per π^{\pm} & add linearly over π^{\pm} 's per event. Similarly, 1% per π^{0} .

QWG3 B Heltsley Oct 2004

CLEO Preliminary: BR in % relerror in %

	В	B/B _{XJ/ψ}	Β/Β _{π+π-J/ψ}
<u>у</u> т/	59.6±0.2±2.4 ^{4.1%}	CLEO Prelim	
Λ J /Ψ	9 ⁵⁹ 55±7 ^{12.7%}	PDG avg, not fit	
Τ/ γγ	33.3±0.1±1.8 ^{5.3%}	55.8±0.3±1.1 ^{2.1%}	
ππ υγ ψ	32.3±1.4 ^{4.3%}	53.5±0.7±1.6 ^{3.3%}	BES
	16.9±0.2±0.8 ^{4.6%}	28.3±0.3±0.6 ^{2.2%}	50.7±0.5±1.5 ^{3.0%}
π ^ο π ^ο J /ψ		32.7±1.4 ^{4.3%}	57.0±0.9±2.6 ^{4.8%}
$n(\gamma\gamma)$ T/ γ	3.3±0.1±0.1 ^{4.9%}	5.5±0.1±0.1 ^{2.7%}	9.9±0.2±0.2 ^{3.3%}
η(γγ) σγφ	3.0±0.1±0.2 ^{8.3%}	∞ ⁹ 6.9 ± 0.8 ^{12%}	9.8±0.5±1.0 ^{11.4%}
η (3 π) J/ ψ	3.3±0.1±0.2 ^{6.9%}	5.5±0.2±0.2 ^{4.6%}	9.9±0.4±0.2 ^{4.2%}
π ⁰ J/ ψ	0.15±0.02±0.01 ^{14%}	0.26±0.03±0.01 ^{13%}	0.46±0.05±0.03 ^{13%}
	0.143±0.013±0.011 ^{13%}		

Further Results

• $B(J/\psi \rightarrow ee)/B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu)=$ 0.9872±0.0093 (1.4 σ < 1) > BES: 1.011±0.021

B(ψ(2S)→light hadrons) =

 Σexcl - Σγχ_{cj} - γη_c - Σθε = 17.2±3.6%
 CLEO results for all terms except Σθε
 Q(1.h.)=B(ψ(2S)→1.h.)/ B(J/ψ→1.h.)= 19.8±4.1%

 Incl-Excl= 6.2±1.1%
 =? indirect ΣB(ψ(2S)→γχ_{cj})×B(χ_{cj}→γ J/ψ))

>1.5σ>BES (4.5±0.2%), 2σ>PDG(CBAL) (3.9±0.3%)

Summary: $\psi(2S) \rightarrow XJ/\psi$

• NEW, PRELIMINARY CLEO msmts of all J/ψ excl hadronic BRs & incl J/ψ as well > Systematics limited, many cross checks performed Most precise, or comparable to previous • $\pi^0 \pi^0$ absolute rate msd for 1st time > Full reconstruction of both $\pi^{0's}$ > Lower ratios of BR's than BES, E835, E760 $> \pi^0 \pi^0 / \pi^+ \pi^-$ ratio ~1/2 as expected from isospin • (Incl-Excl) provides BR cross check Ist single-experiment test of inclusive "12% rule"

CLEO Y & $\psi(2S)$ Data



>10-fold increase for the narrow Υ resonances
 1st experiment to match Crystal Ball sensitivity for photon transitions from ψ(25)

ψ (2S) Inclusive γ Spectrum



QWG3 B Heltsley Oct 2004

η_c(1S) & η_c(2S)



ψ (2S) E1 & M1 transitions

B(ψ(2S) →γX) in %	E1 Lines	Hindered M1 Line				
	J=2	J=1	J=0	J=0		
CLEO	9.33±0.14±0.61	9.07±0.11±0.54	9.22±0.11±0.46	0.32±0.04±0.06±0.03		
C.Ball	8.0±0.5±0.7	9.0±0.5±0.7	9.9±0.5±0.8	0.28±0.06		
PDG	7.8±0.8	8.7±0.8	9.3±0.8	0.28±0.06		
ratio	1.20±0.15	1.04±0.11	0.99±0.10	1.21±0.38		
Eγ in MeVE1 Lines: Photon energiesHindered M1 Lin						
	J=2	J=1	J=0	J=0		
CLEO	128.00±0.13±0.64	172.05±0.19±0.86	6 261.99±0.37±1	.31 646.2±2.6±3.23		
PDG	127.52 ± 0.13	171.21 ± 0.12	260.72 ±0.38	638.44±0.81		
Ratio (1.0038±0.0014±0.0050	1.0049±0.0013±0.005	0 1.0049±0.0020±0.0	0050 1.012±0.009		

Good agreement on branching ratios, ~smallest errors

- Hindered M1 transition confirmed!
- Use results to recalibrate calorimeter energy scale for Υ 's

Bottomonium transitions



<u>2</u> sets of lines to P states

 $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_b$



$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \chi_{bJ}(1P_J) \gamma$



 $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{b2} \gamma$



χ_b , χ_b' Masses

Υ State	Mass (MeV)	
25	10023.26±0.31	PDG
35	10355.2 ± 0.5	input
2P ₂	10268.80±0.06±0.57	
2 P ₁	10255.58±0.07±0.56	
2P ₀	10232.94±0.16±0.68	UNLY
1P ₂	9912.06±0.08±0.43	
1P ₁	9892.83±0.09±0.43	
1 P ₀	9859.36±0.19±0.53	UNL7

Electric Dipole Transitions

$$\Gamma_{E1}(n_i S \to n_f P) = \frac{4}{27} \alpha e_Q^2 (2J+1) E_\gamma^3 \langle n_f P | r | n_i S \rangle^2$$

- El matrix element is <u>spin independent</u> in NR limit
- Below, ratio of Γ_{E1} 's normalized to $(2J+1)E_{\gamma}^{3}$.
- Also results from $\psi' \rightarrow \chi_{cJ} \gamma$ analysis are shown.

$\begin{array}{c c} (J=2)/(J=1) & 1.00\pm0.01\pm0\\ \chi_b(2P): \ (J=0)/(J=1) & 0.76\pm0.02\pm0\\ (J=0)/(J=2) & 0.76\pm0.02\pm0 \end{array}$.05 .07 .09 • In bb, (J=2)/(J=1) ~ 1 .09 consistent with NR
$\begin{array}{c c} (J=2)/(J=1) & 1.01\pm0.02\pm0\\ \chi_b(1P): \ (J=0)/(J=1) & 0.82\pm0.02\pm0\\ (J=0)/(J=2) & 0.81\pm0.02\pm0 \end{array}$.08 .06 .11 expectation. .11 in cc, (J=2)/(J=1) > 1 due to smaller quark mass.
$\begin{array}{ccc} (J=2)/(J=1) & 1.50\pm0.02\pm0\\ \chi_c(1P): \ (J=0)/(J=1) & 0.86\pm0.01\pm0\\ (J=0)/(J=2) & 0.59\pm0.01\pm0 \end{array}$.05 .06 .05 .06 .05 .05

$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \eta_b(1S) \gamma \& \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \eta_b(1S) \gamma$



Test potential models $\Gamma_{\rm M1}$



Models from the compilation by Godfrey&Rosner PR D64, 074011 (2001); Ebert,Faustov, and Galkin, PRD67, 014027(2003); Lahde NP A714, 183(2003) [scaled here by phase-space]

Limits are best here at high E where lower bgd

•Data rule out many predictions!

QWG3 B Heltsley Oct 2004

Test potential models $\Gamma_{\rm M1}$



1st Observation of $\Upsilon(1^{3}D_{2})$



Potential models: $M(\Upsilon(1D))=?$

\bullet Models which have success with other Υ masses

 \Rightarrow success w/mass of $\Upsilon(1^{3}D_{2})$ •Fits to guarkonia masses possible with as few free parameters as one (accuracy ~0.2% of mass, 2% of excitation energy) - perhaps the most convincing proof for quark structure of hadrons Several potential models consistent w/data

Beyond potential models

Advances in lattice QCD calculations:



 $\Upsilon(1^{3}D_{2}) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\Upsilon(1S)$??



Could X(3872) be a 1D state? Let's see how a D state in the Υ system behaves.

 $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \pi^{+}\pi^{-} \Upsilon(1S),$ $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow I^{+}I^{-}$ is our calibration signal

 $\Upsilon(1^{3}D_{2}) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\Upsilon(1S)$? No.



 $\eta \Upsilon$ (1S) also ruled out at 2.3×10⁻⁴

QWG3 B Heltsley Oct 2004

Conclusions

• CLEO has new, preliminary results on transitions to a J/ψ w/1 or more hadrons > Complete set, improved precision • CLEO has final results (E, BR) on radiative transitions from the $\psi(2S)$ & $\Upsilon(nS)$ system $> \eta_{b}$'s not yet seen, some potential models ruled out $> \eta_c$ confirmed > Old nc' from CBAL ruled out At current known η_c mass, γ too soft, broad for CLEO > Relativistic effects seen for BOTH cc & bb $> \Upsilon(1^{3}D_{2})$ seen • $\Upsilon(1^{3}D_{2})$ observed in photon transitions from Υ (3S), not in $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon$ (1S)

Supplemental Slides

$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi \pi J/\psi, J/\psi \rightarrow |+|^-$



QWG3 B Heltsley Oct 2004



 ψ (2S) $\rightarrow \pi^0 J/\psi$, π^0 _



QWG3 B Heltsley Oct 2004

Decay Radiation

Model with PHOTOS
Also search for explicit radiation as below
Reasonable but not perfect agreement
Assign 0.5% error, separately for e, µ



y Transitions: Detector

Excellent charged particle detection
 EM calorimeter - Essential for γ spectrocopy
 ~8000 CsI(Tl) crystals + photo-diodes
 First crystal calorimeter in magnetic field



ψ(2S) Photon Spectrum



comparison with C.Ball only (harmful at low photon energies)

$\Upsilon' \rightarrow \chi_{b1} \gamma$







 $\Upsilon'' \rightarrow \chi_{b2} \gamma$



 $\Upsilon'' \rightarrow \chi_{b1}' \gamma$



 $\Upsilon'' \rightarrow \chi_{b0} \gamma$



Tables of Results

	This msmt	CLEO2 (1998)	PDG
BR(Υ ' $\rightarrow \chi_{b0}$ γ)	3.75±0.12±0.47%	3.4±0.5±0.6 %	3.8±0.6 %
$BR(\Upsilon' \to \chi_{b1} \gamma)$	6.93±0.12±0.41%	6.9±0.5±0.9 %	6.8±0.7 %
$BR(\Upsilon' \to \chi_{b2} \gamma)$	7.24±0.11±0.40%	7.4±0.5±0.8 %	7.0±0.6 %
$E_{\gamma}(\Upsilon' \to \chi_{b0} \gamma)$	162.56±0.19±0.42MeV	162.0±0.8±1.2 MeV	162.1±1.0 MeV
$E_{\gamma}(\Upsilon' \rightarrow \chi_{b1} \gamma)$	129.58±0.09±0.29MeV	128.8±0.4±0.6 MeV	129.8±0.5 MeV
$E_{\gamma}(\Upsilon' \rightarrow \chi_{b2} \gamma)$	110.58±0.08±0.30MeV	110.8±0.3±0.6 MeV	110.1±0.5 MeV
	This msmt	CLEO2 (1991)	PDG
$BR(\Upsilon'' \to \chi_{b0}' \gamma)$	6.77±0.20±0.65%	4.9 ^{+0.3} -0.4 [±] 0.6 %	5.4±0.6 %
$BR(\Upsilon'' \to \chi_{b1'} \gamma)$	14.54±0.18±0.73%	10.5 ^{+0.3} _{-0.2} ±1.3 %	11.3±0.6 %
$BR(\Upsilon'' \to \chi_{b2'} \gamma)$	15.79±0.17±0.73%	13.5±0.3±1.7 %	11.4±0.8 %
$BR(\Upsilon'' \to \chi_{b0} \gamma)$	0.30±0.04±0.10%	-	-
$E_{\gamma}(\Upsilon'' \rightarrow \chi_{b0}' \gamma)$	121.55±0.16±0.46MeV	122.3±0.3±0.6 MeV	122.8±0.5 MeV
$E_{\gamma}(\Upsilon'' \rightarrow \chi_{b1}' \gamma)$	99.15±0.07±0.25MeV	99.5±0.1±0.5 MeV	99.90±0.26 MeV
$E_{\gamma}(\Upsilon^{''} \rightarrow \chi_{b2}' \gamma)$	86.04±0.06±0.27MeV	86.4±0.1±0.4 MeV	86.64±0.23 MeV



Table 6: Systematic errors on the rate measurements.

initial state	$\Upsilon(2S)$			$\Upsilon(3S)$				
final state	$\chi_b(1P_0)$	$\chi_b(1P_1)$	$\chi_b(1P_2)$	$\chi_b(2P_0)$	$\chi_b(2P_1)$	$\chi_b(2P_2)$	$\chi_b(1P_0)$	
number of $\Upsilon(nS)$	1.5%			1.7%				
mc stat	0.9%	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	1.1%	1.1%	0.5%	
order of bkg polynomial	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	6.1%	1.9%	1.4%	16.3%	
fitting range (signal)	2.6%	1.0%	0.6%	2.7%	0.9%	0.6%	8.6%	
fitting range (background)	0.3%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%		
background shape (continuum)	1.3%	0.4%	1.1%	3.0%	0.3%	0.9%		
МІР				3.0%	1.0%	0.6%		
σ_0							3.3%	
E_{scale}							3.6%	
π^0 suppression							17.4%	
shower simulation	9.9%	5.1%	2.0%	1.5%	3.1%	2.5%	16.5%	
E vs lnE	5.6%	0.7%	4.4%	3.5%	0.5%	1.8%		
signal shape	3.7%	2.0%	1.5%	2.9%	1.0%	1.2%	8.0%	
$\Upsilon(2S)X$ and $\Upsilon(1D)$				1.4%	1.5%	1.2%	5.1%	
Total	12.4%	5.9%	5.5%	9.6%	5.0%	4.6%	32.1%	

χ_b Systematic Errors

Table 8: Systematic Errors on the photon energy measurements.

initial state	$\Upsilon(2S)$			$\Upsilon(3S)$				
final state	$\chi_b(1P_0)$	$\chi_b(1P_1)$	$\chi_b(1P_2)$	r(1P)	$\chi_b(2P_0)$	$\chi_b(2P_1)$	$\chi_b(2P_2)$	r(2P)
shower simulation	0.07%	0.05%	0.14%	1.66%	0.29%	0.04%	0.02%	1.08%
E vs lnE	0.07%	0.07%	0.04%	0.06%	0.04%	0.06%	0.01%	0.43%
order of bkg polynomial	< 0.01%	0.00%	< 0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.05%	0.02%	0.63%
fitting range (signal)	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.06%	0.02%	0.02%	0.01%	0.42%
fitting range (background)	0.02%	< 0.01%	< 0.01%	0.02%	0.01%	< 0.01%	< 0.01%	0.07%
background shape (continuum)	0.08%	< 0.01%	0.11%	0.98%	0.10%	0.01%	0.04%	0.64%
signal shape	0.10%	0.02%	0.01%	0.38%	0.04%	< 0.01%	0.03%	0.45%
MIP					0.03%	0.03%	< 0.01%	0.89%
$\Upsilon(2S)X \text{ and } \Upsilon(1D)$					0.08%	0.09%	0.03%	1.25%
sub total	0.16%	0.09%	0.18%	0.38%	0.32%	0.13%	0.07%	2.22%
CC calibration		0.20%		0.00%	0.20%	0.21%	0.30%	0.54%
Total	0.26%	0.22%	0.27%	1.97%	0.38%	0.25%	0.31%	2.28%

Electric Dipole Transitions II

$$\Gamma_{E1}(n_i S \to n_f P) = \frac{4}{27} \alpha e_Q^2 (2J+1) E_\gamma^3 \langle n_f P | r | n_i S \rangle^2$$

- Extract the above E1 matrix element by Γ_{E1} =BR(n_fS \rightarrow n_fP) $\cdot\Gamma_{total}(\Upsilon(nS))$ for each J's and using the latest CLEO measurements of $\Gamma_{total}(\Upsilon(2S))$ and $\Gamma_{total}(\Upsilon(3S))$.
- Matrix elements averaged over spins are shown below along with various predictions.
- Also result from $\psi' \rightarrow \chi_{cJ} \gamma$ analysis is shown using $\Gamma_{total}(\psi(2S))=277\pm22$ keV (PDG)



Exp value

- o = predictions (non-relativistic)
- ▲ = predictions (relativistic) (averaged over spins)
- cc system is calling for relativistic corrections. The correction is small in bottomonium.
- In bb system, non-relativistic calculations seem to reproduce the measured rates.

48