

CLEO-c and CESR-c: A New Frontier of Weak and Strong Interactions

R. A. Briere, G. P. Chen, T. Ferguson, G. Tatishvilli, H. Vogel
Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

J. P. Alexander, K. Berkelman, S. Belomestnykh, M. Billing, F. Blanc, D. G. Cassel,
G. Codner, P. S. Drell, J. E. Duboscq, G. Dugan, K. M. Ecklund, R. Ehrlich, R. S. Galik,
R. Geng, L. Gibbons, B. Gittelman, S. W. Gray, S. Greenwald, Z. Greenwald, D. L. Hartill,
Y. He, B. K. Heltsley, S. Henderson, C. D. Jones, J. Kandaswamy, J. Knobloch,
D. L. Kreinick, G. P. Lepage, Y. Li, H. Mahlke-Krüger, V. Mejidzade, R. Meller,
A. Mikhailichenko, N. B. Mistry, M. Neubert, E. Nordberg, H. Padamsee, M. Palmer,
J. R. Patterson, S. Peck, D. Peterson, A. Petrov, D. Rice,* D. Riley, J. Rogers, D. Rubin,
A. J. Sadoff, D. Sagan, H. Schwarthoff, V. Shemelin, E. Smith, D. Urner, A. Temnykh,
M. Tigner, V. Veshcherevich, G. Viehhauser, A. Warburton, J. Welch
Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

B. Athar, P. Avery, H. Stoeck, J. Yelton
University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611

F. A. Harris
University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822

K. Benslama, A. El-Khadra, J. Ernst, G. D. Gollin, R. M. Hans, I. Karliner, M. Selen,
J. J. Thaler
University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Illinois 61801

D. Besson
University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045

S. Anderson, V. V. Frolov, Y. Kubota, S. J. Lee, R. Poling, A. Smith, C. J. Stepaniak,
J. Urheim
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

S. Eidelman
Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SU-630090, Novosibirsk, Russia

T. Barnes

*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831 and
University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996*

K. K. Gan, K. Honscheid, H. Kagan, R. Kass, T. K. Pedlar, E. von Toerne
Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

S. Dytman, J. Mueller, S. Nam, V. Savinov
University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

J. Lee, D. H. Miller, E. I. Shibata, I. P. J. Shipsey,[†] V. Pavlunin
Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

D. Cronin-Hennessy, A.L. Lyon, C.S. Park, E. H. Thorndike
University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627

T. E. Coan, Y. S. Gao, F. Liu
Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275

M. L. Perl
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309

M. S. Alam, L. Jian, B. Rahmi, M. Saleem, F. Wappler
State University of New York at Albany, Albany, New York 12222

M. Artuso,[†] C. Boulahouache, K. Bukin, E. Dambasuren, N. Horwitz, R. Mountain,
R. Nandakumar, T. Skwarnicki, S. Stone, J.C. Wang
Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244

A. H. Mahmood
University of Texas - Pan American, Edinburg, Texas 78539

S. E. Csorna, I. Danko, K. W. McLean, Z. Xu
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235

G. Bonvicini, D. Cinabro, M. Dubrovin
Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202

* CESR-c Taskforce Coordinator

† CLEO-c Taskforce Coordinator

Table of Contents

I	Executive Summary	1
1	Run Plan and Datasets	2
2	Hardware Requirements	3
3	Measurements	3
4	Unique Features of the CLEO-c Program	4
5	The Impact of CLEO-c	6
6	Summary	7
II	Important Physics Opportunities and Goals	9
1	Introduction and Overview	9
1.1	Introduction	9
1.2	Weak Interactions	10
1.3	QCD	11
1.4	Theoretical Techniques	12
1.4.1	The Lattice	12
1.4.2	Heavy Quark Expansions	13
1.4.3	Models	13
2	Strategy: Why CLEO-c? Why now?	14
3	Charm Decays	15
3.1	Introduction	15
3.2	Leptonic and Semileptonic Decays of Charm	15
3.2.1	Introduction	15
3.2.2	Leptonic Decays	17
3.2.2.1	Motivation and Present Experimental Status	17
3.2.2.2	Leptonic Decays in CLEO-c	19
3.2.3	Semileptonic Decays	20
3.2.3.1	Introduction	20
3.2.3.2	Exclusive Semileptonic Decays	22
3.2.3.3	Inclusive Semileptonic Decays	22
3.2.4	Combined Results and Implications of the Leptonic and Semileptonic Measurements for CKM	23
3.3	Absolute Hadronic Charm Meson Branching Fractions	25
3.3.1	Introduction	25
3.3.2	Current Status	25
3.3.3	Physics Enabled by Better Charm Hadron Branching Fraction Measurements	26
3.3.3.1	Value of $ V_{cb} $	26
3.3.3.2	Understanding Two-body B Meson Decays	27
3.3.3.3	The Charm Content in b Decay	27
3.3.3.4	Effects on Probes of Beyond SM Physics	28
3.3.3.5	QCD Models of Heavy Quark Production	28

3.4	Charm Baryons	28
3.5	Charm Beyond the Standard Model	29
3.5.1	Mixing	29
3.5.2	CP Violation	32
3.5.2.1	Introduction	32
3.5.2.2	Direct CP Violation	32
3.5.2.3	Observing CP Violation Through Quantum Correlations at the ψ''	34
3.5.2.4	Conclusions	34
3.5.3	Rare Charm Decays	34
4	Opportunities for Tau Lepton Physics	35
4.1	Introduction	35
4.2	Measurement of Key Tau Lepton Properties	37
4.2.1	Tau Lepton Mass	37
4.2.2	Tau Decay Branching Fractions	37
4.2.3	Tau Neutrino Mass	39
4.3	Studies of Weak Couplings and Lepton Universality	39
4.3.1	Tests of Lepton Universality	39
4.3.2	Weak Couplings: The Michel Parameters	40
4.4	Studies of Hadronic Dynamics in Tau Decays	41
4.5	Direct Searches for Non-Standard Model Physics	42
4.5.1	Search for $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \nu_X$	42
4.5.2	Search for $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- G$	42
4.5.3	Study of the radiative decay $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau \gamma$	43
4.5.4	CP Violation in Tau Decay	43
4.6	Summary of Tau Physics Opportunities	43
5	Nonperturbative QCD	44
5.1	Experimental Probes	44
5.1.1	The $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ States: Well-understood or Not?	45
5.1.2	Exotica: Searches for New Forms of Matter	46
5.1.2.1	Searches for Exotics: Glueballs	48
5.1.2.2	Searches for Exotics: Hybrids	49
5.1.3	Physics Running at the $\psi'(3686)$	50
5.1.3.1	Radiative transitions	50
5.1.3.2	Searches for missing $c\bar{c}$ states	50
5.1.3.3	Exotica from hadronic decays of ψ' and χ_c	52
5.1.3.4	Hadronic branching fractions	52
5.1.4	Summary	53
5.2	Measurement of R	53
	Footnotes and References	54

III The Physics Reach of the Program 59

1	The CLEO-c Physics Program	59
2	Simulation, Validation, and Systematic Errors	61
2.1	Introduction	61
2.2	Event Generation	61
2.3	Detector Simulation	61
2.3.1	Tracking	61
2.3.2	Particle Identification	63
2.3.3	Electromagnetic calorimeter	66
2.4	Analysis Tools	67
2.5	Validation of the Simulation	68
2.6	Systematic Error Assessment	69
3	Physics Reach in Charm Decays	70
3.1	Introduction	70
3.2	Tagging Technology: Estimates of D Decay Reconstruction Efficiencies and Rates	72
3.3	Charm Meson Decay Constants	74
3.4	Semileptonic Decays	82
3.4.1	Analysis technique for semileptonic decays	82
3.4.2	Exclusive Semileptonic Decays	85
3.4.2.1	Determination of $ V_{cd} $ and $ V_{cs} $	85
3.4.2.2	Determination of the Ratio $ V_{cd} / V_{cs} $	88
3.4.2.3	Measurement of Form Factors in Pseudoscalar-to-Pseudoscalar Semileptonic Decays	89
3.4.2.4	Measurement of Form Factors in Pseudoscalar-to-Vector Semileptonic Decays	91
3.4.3	Inclusive Semileptonic Decay	95
3.4.4	Summary	101
3.5	Absolute Hadronic Charm Meson Branching Fractions	101
3.6	Charm Baryon Decays	105
3.7	Charm Beyond the Standard Model	106
3.7.1	Mixing and CP Violation	106
3.7.1.1	$D^0\bar{D}^0$ Mixing: Experimental Techniques	107
3.7.1.2	CP Violation in $D^0\bar{D}^0$ Decays: Experimental Techniques	109
3.7.2	Rare Charm Decays	110
4	Reach for Tau Lepton Physics	111
4.1	Experimental Aspects of Tau Physics at CLEO-c	111
4.1.1	Sample Sizes	113
4.1.2	Event Kinematics	114
4.1.3	Backgrounds	115
4.2	Tau Threshold Measurements	116
4.2.1	Tau Mass Measurement	116
4.2.2	Search for a Massive Neutrino in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \nu_X$	117
4.2.3	Search for the Exotic Decay $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- G$	117

4.2.4	Study of the radiative decay $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau \gamma$	118
4.3	High Statistics Measurements	121
4.3.1	Precision Branching Fractions	121
4.3.2	Lorentz Structure	121
4.4	Tau Physics Reach Summary	123
5	Physics Reach in Nonperturbative QCD	123
5.1	Data Samples	123
5.1.1	Comparison with existing/projected data samples	124
5.2	Detector issues	124
5.3	Specific Examples	125
5.3.1	Exclusive Measurements	125
5.3.1.1	Reach for $f_J(2220)$	126
5.3.1.2	Scalar 0^{++} glueball story	130
5.3.1.3	Heavy Hybrids	131
5.3.2	Inclusive Measurements	132
5.3.2.1	Inclusive Photons	132
5.3.2.2	Measuring R	132
5.3.2.3	'Modern R' Measurement for Charm Spectroscopy Studies	134
5.3.3	Υ Resonance Running	135
5.3.3.1	General Comments on the Run Plan for 2001-2002	135
5.3.3.2	Opportunities at the Three Narrow Resonances	135
5.3.3.3	Possible Running at Energies above the $\Upsilon(4S)$	141
6	Comparison with Other Facilities	142
6.1	Comparison with B -factories	142
6.2	BESII and BESIII	145
6.3	Hall-D	145
	Footnotes and References	151

IV The CLEO-c Detector 155

1	The CLEO III Detector	155
2	CLEO III Tracking	157
2.1	Status of CLEO III Tracking	157
2.1.1	The Drift Chamber	157
2.1.2	The Silicon Vertex Detector	158
2.1.3	Tracking System Performance	159
2.2	Outlook	159
2.2.1	Tracking at $E_{CM} = 3$ to 5 GeV	159
2.2.2	The Wire Vertex Chamber Option	163
2.2.2.1	Mechanical Considerations	163
2.2.2.2	Prototypes, Testing, Timeline	166
2.2.2.3	Electronics Issues	169
2.2.2.4	CESR-c Backgrounds	170
3	The CLEO III Hadron Identification System	171
3.1	Specific Ionization in the Drift Chamber	172

3.1.1	Current Performance	172
3.2	RICH	174
3.2.1	Current Performance	176
3.3	Outlook	178
4	The CLEO III Electromagnetic Calorimeter	179
4.1	System Description	179
4.2	Performance and Outlook	180
5	The CLEO III Trigger System	181
5.1	Tracking Trigger	181
5.1.1	Axial Tracking	181
5.1.2	Stereo Tracking	182
5.1.3	Event-time derivation	183
5.2	Calorimeter Trigger	184
5.2.1	Analog Calorimeter Trigger	184
5.2.2	Digital Calorimeter Trigger	184
5.3	Global Level-1 Trigger	185
5.3.1	Trigger Lines	186
5.4	Outlook	186
6	The CLEO III Data Acquisition System	187
6.1	Requirements	187
6.2	Current Performance	189
6.3	Outlook	192
7	Computing in the CLEO-c Environment	193
7.1	Processing and Storage of Data	193
7.2	Analysis Issues	194
7.3	Monte Carlo Issues	195
	Footnotes and References	196

V CCSR-c – CCSR from 1.5 to 5.6 Gev per Beam 197

1	The CCSR-c Design Concept	197
1.1	Accelerator Goals	197
1.2	Accelerator Physics Issues	197
1.3	Accelerator Technology Issues	197
2	Facility Description	198
2.1	Accelerator Description	198
2.1.1	Overall Layout	198
2.1.2	Interaction Region	199
2.2	Synchrotron Radiation Facilities	200
2.2.1	Layout	200
2.2.2	CHESS X-ray Beam Characteristics	201
3	Accelerator Physics Considerations	201
3.1	CCSR Performance at 5.3 Gev	201
3.1.1	Peak Luminosity	203
3.1.2	Luminosity Duty Cycle	203

3.1.3	Integrated Luminosity	203
3.2	Expected Performance vs. Beam Energy	204
3.2.1	Luminosity	204
3.2.2	Beam Lifetime	205
3.2.2.1	Touschek effect	206
3.2.2.2	Beam-gas scattering	206
3.2.3	Energy Scaling with Wigglers	208
3.2.4	Detector Backgrounds	211
3.2.4.1	Synchrotron Radiation Backgrounds	211
3.2.4.2	Beam-Gas Backgrounds	214
3.2.4.3	Touschek Scattering	216
3.2.4.4	Radiation from SRF Cavities in the Interaction Region Straights	217
3.2.4.5	Injection Losses	218
3.2.4.6	Summary	218
3.2.5	CESR-c Parameter List	219
3.3	Lattice and Layout	219
3.3.1	Present Ring Layout	219
3.3.1.1	Interaction Region	219
3.3.1.2	Solenoid Compensation	222
3.3.1.3	Lattice	222
3.3.2	Ring Modifications	223
3.3.2.1	1.88 GeV Lattice	223
3.4	Beam Stability Considerations	224
3.4.1	Introduction	224
3.4.2	Single Bunch Stability Limits at 5.3 GeV	226
3.4.2.1	Transverse Single Bunch Stability at 5.3 GeV	226
3.4.2.2	Longitudinal Single Bunch Stability at 5.3 GeV	226
3.4.3	Projection of Single Bunch Stability Limits at Lower Energies	226
3.4.3.1	Transverse single bunch stability at lower energies	226
3.4.3.2	Longitudinal single bunch stability at lower energies	228
3.4.4	Multi-Bunch Stability Limits at 5.3 GeV	229
3.4.4.1	Transverse Multi-Bunch Stability at 5.3 GeV	229
3.4.4.2	Longitudinal Multi-Bunch Stability at 5.3 GeV	229
3.4.5	Projection of Multi-Bunch Stability at Lower Energies	229
3.4.5.1	Transverse Multi-Bunch Stability at Lower Energies	229
3.4.5.2	Longitudinal Multi-Bunch Stability at Lower Energies	230
3.4.6	Ion Trapping at 5.3 GeV Operation	230
3.4.7	Projection of Ion Trapping at Lower Energy Operation	230
3.4.8	Beam-Beam Effects	230
4	Technical Systems	231
4.1	Magnets	231
4.1.1	Field Quality of Ring Magnets at Energy from 1.5 to 5.6 GeV	231
4.1.1.1	Dipole Magnets.	232
4.1.1.2	Quadrupole Magnets	233
4.1.2	IR Magnet System from 1.5 to 5.6 GeV	234

4.1.2.1	Superconducting Quadrupoles	234
4.1.2.2	Normal Quadrupoles	238
4.1.2.3	Permanent Magnet Quads	239
4.1.3	Wiggler Magnets	240
4.1.3.1	Performance Goals	240
4.1.3.2	Design Concept & Prototype Module	241
4.1.3.3	Magnetic Design	243
4.1.3.4	Cryostat	244
4.1.3.5	Cryogen Production and Distribution System for the Wigglers	246
4.1.3.6	Quench Protection	247
4.1.3.7	Modification of Arc Magnets	250
4.1.3.8	Wiggler Magnet Tests	251
4.1.4	Magnet Power Supplies	253
4.2	RF System	254
4.2.1	Present RF Accelerating System	254
4.2.2	Planned Addition for Lower Energy Operation	255
4.2.2.1	Increasing Number of Cavities for Shorter Bunches	255
4.2.2.2	RF Transmitter Modification	255
4.2.2.3	RF Cryogenic Modification	257
4.3	Vacuum System	257
4.3.1	The Present CESR Vacuum System	257
4.3.1.1	Vacuum Pumping and Pressure	257
4.3.1.2	Vacuum Component Heating and Safety	258
4.3.2	Projected Vacuum System Operation at Lower Energies	258
4.3.2.1	Vacuum Pumping and Pressure	258
4.3.2.2	Vacuum Component Heating and Safety	259
4.3.3	Accommodation of the Wigglers	259
4.4	Instrumentation and Control Systems	260
4.4.1	Accelerator Control system	260
4.4.2	Instrumentation for 5.3 GeV Operation	260
4.4.3	Instrumentation Needs for 1.5–5.6 GeV Operation	261
4.5	Feedback System	262
4.5.1	Present Feedback Systems	262
4.5.2	Feedback Needs for 1.5-5.6 GeV Operation	263
4.6	Injection System	264
4.6.1	Present Injection System Operation	264
4.6.2	Injection System Operation for 1.5-5.6 GeV	264
4.7	Safety Systems	265
4.7.1	General Safety	265
4.7.2	Radiation Safety	266
4.7.2.1	Radiation Safety at 5.3 GeV Operation	266
4.7.2.2	Radiation Safety at 1.5-5.6 GeV Operation	267
4.7.3	Cryogen Safety	267
4.8	Conventional Systems	268
4.8.1	Power and Water	268

4.8.2 Cryogenic System Modifications Needed for 1.5-5.6 GeV Operations .	268
Footnotes and References	269

Acknowledgments **271**